

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/558,232	04/26/2000	David M. Manyak	06695.0003	9717
22852 75	590 09/05/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
	DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW		MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, 20 20000		EXAMINER MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	(
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002	Ç

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/558,232	MANYAK ET AL.
Office Action	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ardin Marschel	1631
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
- Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set o	e later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FII	NAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this applic closed in accord Disposition of Claims	ation is in condition for allowal ance with the practice under E	nce except for formal mati Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/a	are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above of	claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is.			
6) Claim(s) is.	/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is	'are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are	subject to restriction and/or el	lection requirement.	
Application Papers	-	4	
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed	d on is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b)⊡ objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not	request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed draw	ring correction filed oni	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
	ted drawings are required in reply		
	tion is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§			
13) Acknowledgment i	s made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ All b)∏ Some	* c) ☐ None of:		
1.☐ Certified cop	pies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified cop	pies of the priority documents	have been received in Ap _l	plication No
application	e certified copies of the priority on from the International Bure tailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	eceived in this National Stage
			119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) 🗌 The translation	of the foreign language provi made of a claim for domestic	sional application has bee	en received.
ttachment(s)			
		5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/558,232

Art Unit: 1631

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a multi-database computer system containing compound data, classified in class 707, subclass 100. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claims 24-26, drawn to analyzing data relevant to drug discovery and development, classified in class 702, subclass 19. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR GROUPS I AND II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of compounds are cited in claims 3-13 which are generally separately analyzed and published and thus document the undue search burden if searched together. Thus, applicants are required to select a type of compound for database information practice from those listed in claims 3-13. It is noted that these compound types are utilizable in both of Groups I and II although only cited specifically in Group I.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

Application/Control Number: 09/558,232

Art Unit: 1631

finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, and 14-26 are generic to the above species of compounds in Group I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another

Application/Control Number: 09/558,232

Art Unit: 1631

materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the computer system of Group I may be utilized in the distinct usage of data organization and storage for a variety of uses such as for directing synthesis methods or for biochemical study/research.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/558,232

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 4, 2002

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER